

An Overview of Mobile Robots Applications

Branko Novakovic, Josip Kasac, Danko Brezak

Abstract - Do we can control of the autonomous robot motion in the more different potential fields? As the answer to this question here it is presented a few methods of the control of the autonomous robots motion in the following fields: a) radial mass density field, b) two-potential electromagnetic and gravitational field, c) control of micro-nano robots motion in multi-potential field, d) quantization of autonomous robot motion in electromagnetic and gravitational fields and e) control of nano-robots motion in multi-potential field. The other possibilities of application of the radial mass density theory to autonomous robot motion are also discussed. The application of the presented theory to control of the micro-nano robot motion is also pointed out. Finally, using the previous methods one can precisely control of the autonomous robot motions on and in the Earth, Ocean and Air.

Index Terms — Mobile robots, Multipotential field, Micro - nano robots, Quantization of robot trajectory.

I. INTRODUCTION

The autonomous robots have a very large application area. The first one is the application in the precise production processes. The second one is in the micro and nano scales as it is in medicine for cell manipulation, drug delivery, medical image acquisition and non-invasive intervention. For that application, one can use the electrical, or chemical actuated robots [1,2-5]. The magnetic soft robots have the advantages because of the fast response, unlimited endurance, and no obstruction restrictions [6]. Here, among the others, the motion of the autonomous robots is described in the radial mass density field. This field is in the region from the minimal radius, with the maximal radial mass density, $\rho_{r\ max}$, and maximal radius with the minimal radial mass density, $\rho_{r\ min}$. Between these two limited values one can chose n points ($n=1,2,..n_{max}$). In the case of the precise robot motion the number n_{max} should be bigger. On the contrary, for the less precise robot motion, the number n_{max} may be smaller. The very important consequence of the solution of the field equations by including gravitational energy-momentum tensor (*EMT*) on the right side of the field equations [7-10] is that the gravitational field exhibit repulsive (positive) and attractive (negative) gravitational forces.

The time transition between quantum states in gravitational field is present in [11]. In order to precisely follow the desired trajectory of the autonomous robot motion one can include the new Relativistic Radial Density Theory (RRDT) [12]. The particle transition and correlation in quantum mechanics is discussed in [13]. Independent position control of two identical magnetic micro-robots in a plane using permanent

magnets and magnetically powerful micro robots is presented in [14]. This application represents the new approach to the medical revolution epoche. Magnetically powered micro-robots are discussed in [15,16]. Further, the robust control of micro-robot motion is presented in [17]. The global positioning of robot manipulators with mixed revolute and prismatic joints is discussed in [18]. In the case of vehicle dynamics control, a conjugate gradient-based BPTT-like optimal control algorithm has been applied in [19,20]. The same algorithm can also be adapted to control of the autonomous robot (micro-nano robot) motion in combined electromagnetic and gravitational fields. A robust motion control with antiwindup scheme for electromagnetic actuated microrobot using time-delay estimation is presented in [21].

Further, the quantization of the electromagnetic and gravitational fields is discussed in [22]. The two indipendet position control of two indential microrobots motion in a plane are realized by using rotating permanent magnets [23]. Magnetically powered microrobots and the robust motion control, with antiwindup scheme for electromagnetic actuated microrobots, are presented in [24] and [25], respectively. Robotics assisted in the minimally invasive surgery process is illustrated in [26]. Design of a novel haptic joystick for the teleoperation of continuum-mechanism-based medical robots is presented in [27]. In this reference a novel mechanism with series of coupled gears, that aims for the control of continuum robots for medical applications is pointed out. Positioning control of robotic manipulators subject to excitation from non-ideal sources is discussed in [28]. Further, a robust motion control with antiwindup scheme for electromagnetic actuated microrobot using time-delay estimation is presented in [29]. Independent position control of two identical magnetic microrobots in a plane using rotating permanent magnets is discussed in [30]. Magnetically powered microrobots is pointed out in [31]. A robust motion control with antiwindup scheme for electromagnetic actuated micro-robot, by using time-delay estimation, is presented in [32]. Further, in [33] it is pointed out the robotic assisted minimally invasive surgery. Design of a novel haptic joystick for the teleoperation of the continuum mechanism based medical robots is presented in [34]. The positioning control of robotic manipulators subject to excitation from non-ideal sources is ilustreted in [35]. The so colled tractor-robot cooperation in the heterogeneous leader-follower approach is discussed in [36]. In the reference [37] one can pointed out how the indor positioning systems of mobile robots can be applied. The analysis and experimental evaluation of the single-leg lower-limb rehabilitation robot can applied is point out in [38]. Finaly in the reference [39]

Branko Novakovic, FSB – University of Zagreb, Luciceva 5, P.O.B. 509, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

the multi robot task scheduling for the consensus based fault resilient intelligent behaviour in the smart factories is discussed.

Here it is started with the presentation of the control of dynamics of autonomous robot motion in radial mass density field. In order to solve of the dynamics of the autonomous robot motion the concept of the external linearization of the nonlinear control of the robot motion is applied. It follows by the evaluation of autonomous robot motion in two-potential electromagnetic end gravitational field. The next part of this article gives the method of the quantization of autonomous robot motion in the combination of the electromagnetic and gravitational fields. In development of the control of the autonomous robot motion a concept of the generic state and related orthogonal state are employed. Finally the control of the nanorobots motion in multipotential field is discussed. In this section it is pointed out that the nanorobotics is the multidisciplinary field with atomic and molecular-sized objects. Therefore sometimes it is called molecular robotics.

II. CONTROL OF DYNAMICS OF AUTONOMOUS ROBOT MOTION IN RADIAL MASS DENSITY FIELD

The problem of the nonlinear control of autonomous robot motion here is discussed as the function of the maximal radial mass density value. In order to simplify the related calculation, here it is started with the concept of the external linearization of the nonlinear control of the robot motion in the radial mass density field. In that case, in the closed regulation loop, one obtains the linear behavior of the hole-system. Thus, the problem of the robot position control in the radial mass density field can be started by the calculation of the control of the error vector, $e(t)$. This vector is a function of the radial mass density, ρ_r , and can be presented by the relations:

$$e = X_w - X, \quad \frac{d^2 e}{dt^2} = r_w(t) - \frac{n}{\rho_{r \max} r_{\min}} \left[F_p + F_t + \frac{1}{c} N F_I \right], \quad (1)$$

$$r_w(t) = \frac{d^2 X_w}{dt^2} = \frac{1/n}{\rho_{r \max} r_{\min}} \left[F_{p_w} + F_{t_w} + \frac{1}{c} N F_{I_w} \right].$$

Here $n=1,2,\dots,n_{\max}$ and $n_{\max} = \rho_{r \max} / \rho_{r \min}$. Thus in (1) the subscript w denotes the desired robot motion, while the variables without this subscript present the real autonomous robot motion. Further, F_p is a potential force, F_t is a time - variation force, F_i is interaction force and N is the related connection parameter. At the same time the relations (1) also describes the canonical differential equations of autonomous robot motion in the combination of the electromagnetic and gravitational fields. Vector $r_w(t)$ is the desired (nominal) acceleration of the autonomous robot motion.

Now following the idea of the external linearization, one can introduce the next substitution:

$$u(t) = \frac{d^2 e}{dt^2} = r_w(t) - \frac{n}{\rho_{r \max} r_{\min}} \left[F_p + F_t + \frac{1}{c} N F_I \right], \quad (2)$$

$$u(t) = (u_x(t) \ u_y(t) \ u_z(t))^T.$$

Here $u(t)$ is the internal control vector of autonomous robot motion in radial mass density field. Further, applying the phase state-space variables, $(z_1 \ z_2 \ z_3)^T$ in (1), we obtain the

related state-space model of the robot motion in the radial mass density field:

$$e = (e_x \ e_y \ e_z)^T = Z_I = (z_1 \ z_2 \ z_3)^T, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{de}{dt} = \left(\frac{de_x}{dt} \ \frac{de_y}{dt} \ \frac{de_z}{dt} \right)^T = Z_{II} = (z_4 \ z_5 \ z_6)^T,$$

and

$$dZ / dt = AZ(t) + Bu(t), \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{bmatrix}, \quad I = \text{diag}[1, 1, 1].$$

In (4), parameters A and B are constant matrices with dimension (6×6) and (6×3) , respectively. Here, it is supposed that the disturbances in state-space model of the robot motion in the radial mass density field (3) and (4) are of the initial condition types. In order to eliminate the control error of the autonomous robot motion in the radial mass density field (caused by the disturbances) one can introduce the following internal control:

$$F_p = \frac{1}{n} \rho_{r \max} r_{\min} [r_w(t) + K_I Z_I + K_{II} Z_{II}] - \left[F_t + \frac{N}{c} F_I \right], \quad u(t) = -KZ. \quad (5)$$

Here, K is the state space controller, Z is control error, F_p is the potential force, F_t is time variable force, F_i is an interaction force, N is a constant and c is the speed of the light in vacuum. Including the internal control relations (3) and (4) into (5), one obtains the related equation of the potential force as function of radial mass density value in the linear form:

$$F_p = \frac{1}{n} \rho_{r \max} r_{\min} [r_w(t) + K_I Z_I + K_{II} Z_{II}] - \left[F_t + \frac{N}{c} F_I \right]. \quad (6)$$

Now starting from the previous relations one can generate the new equations of the potential forces F_p :

$$F_{p_x} = - \left(\frac{\partial \Sigma U_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U_c}{\partial x} \right), \quad F_{p_y} = - \left(\frac{\partial \Sigma U_j}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial U_c}{\partial y} \right), \quad (7)$$

$$F_{p_z} = - \left(\frac{\partial \Sigma U_j}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial U_c}{\partial z} \right).$$

It follows by the inclusion of the control potential force, F_{cp} , that is derived from the artificial control field with potential control energy U_c . After inclusion of (7) into (6), one obtains the nonlinear control of the autonomous robot (micro - nano robot) motion in the multi-potential field as the function of the maximal radial mass density value, denoted by $\rho_{r \max}$:

$$F_{cp} = \frac{1}{n} \rho_{r \max} r_{\min} [r(t) + K_I Z_I + K_{II} Z_{II}] - \left[F_{dp} + F_t + \frac{N}{c} F_I \right]. \quad (8)$$

Now, using (8), the control of the nonlinear system is solved in the radial mass density field by employing the concept of the external linearization as the function of the maximal radial mass density value $\rho_{r \max}$.

III. DYNAMICS OF AUTONOMOUS ROBOT MOTION IN TWO POTENTIAL ELECTROMAGNETIC AND GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

The general approach to control of the dynamics of the autonomous robot motion in radial mass density fields (8), can also be applied to the two-potential electromagnetic and gravitational field. In this sense, let an autonomous robot be an electrically charged particle with charge q and rest mass m_0 that is moving with a non-relativistic velocity ($v \ll c$). Here it is also assumed that the gravitational field is produced by the spherically symmetric non charged body with mass M and total potential energy U . For calculation of the autonomous robot motion in the two potential radial mass density fields one can use the following relation:

$$U = qV_e + m_0V_g = qV_e + m_0\left(-\frac{GM}{r}\right), \tag{9}$$

$$\rho_{r\max} = \frac{m_0}{r_{\min}}, U = qV_e + \frac{1}{n}\rho_{r\max}r_{\min}\left(-\frac{GM}{r}\right).$$

Here V_e and V_g are the related scalar potentials of the electromagnetic and gravitational radial mass density fields, respectively. Parameter G is the gravitational constant and r is the radius as distance between the autonomous robot and center of the mass M . Here $n=1,2,\dots,n_{\max}$, $n_{\max} = \rho_{r\max} / \rho_{r\min}$. Now applying (9) and using the notations, (E_e, H_e) for an electromagnetic field and (E_g, H_g) for the gravitational field, one can generate the vector equation as the explicit functions of the Lorentz forces:

$$\frac{1}{n}\rho_{r\max}r_{\min} \frac{d^2X}{dt^2} = q\left(E_e + \frac{1}{c}v \times H_e\right) + \frac{1}{n}\rho_{r\max}r_{\min}\left(E_g + \frac{1}{c}v \times H_g\right). \tag{10}$$

The parameters E_e, E_g, H_e and H_g are vectors described by the relations:

$$E_e = \begin{bmatrix} E_{e_x} \\ E_{e_y} \\ E_{e_z} \end{bmatrix}, E_g = \begin{bmatrix} E_{g_x} \\ E_{g_y} \\ E_{g_z} \end{bmatrix}, H_e = \begin{bmatrix} H_{e_x} \\ H_{e_y} \\ H_{e_z} \end{bmatrix}, H_g = \begin{bmatrix} H_{g_x} \\ H_{g_y} \\ H_{g_z} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

In this example, an autonomous robot is a particle with charge q and rest mass m_0 . Further, let the autonomous robot interacts with both electromagnetic and gravitational radial mass density fields. In that sense the relations (10) and (11) describe the dynamic of the autonomous robot motion in two-potential electromagnetic and gravitational field. The components of the vector E_e and E_g can be calculated by using the following equations:

$$E_{e_x} = -\frac{\partial V_e}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial A_{e_x}}{\partial t}, E_{g_x} = -\frac{\partial V_g}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial A_{g_x}}{\partial t}, \tag{12}$$

$$E_{e_y} = -\frac{\partial V_e}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial A_{e_y}}{\partial t},$$

and:

$$E_{g_y} = -\frac{\partial V_g}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial A_{g_y}}{\partial t}, E_{e_z} = -\frac{\partial V_e}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial A_{e_z}}{\partial t}, \tag{13}$$

$$E_{g_z} = -\frac{\partial V_g}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial A_{g_z}}{\partial t}.$$

The components of vectors A_e, A_g, H_e and H_g in (12) and (13) are given by the following relations:

$$A_{e_i} = \left(\frac{v_i V_e}{c}\right), A_{g_i} = \left(\frac{v_i V_g}{c}\right), i = x, y, z, \tag{14}$$

$$H_{e_x} = \frac{\partial A_{e_z}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_{e_y}}{\partial z}, H_{g_x} = \frac{\partial A_{g_z}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_{g_y}}{\partial z},$$

and:

$$H_{e_y} = \frac{\partial A_{e_x}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_{e_z}}{\partial x}, H_{g_y} = \frac{\partial A_{g_x}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_{g_z}}{\partial x}, \tag{15}$$

$$H_{e_z} = \frac{\partial A_{e_y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_{e_x}}{\partial y}, H_{g_z} = \frac{\partial A_{g_y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_{g_x}}{\partial y}.$$

Applying (14) and (15) to the canonical differential equations of the autonomous robot motion in the two-potential radial mass density field, and using $m_0 = \rho_{r\max}r_{\min}$ one obtains the control error model of the autonomous robot motion as a function of the maximal radial mass density value:

$$d^2e/dt^2 = r_w(t) - \frac{q}{\frac{1}{n}\rho_{r\max}r_{\min}}\left(E_e + \frac{1}{c}v \times H_e\right) - \left(E_g + \frac{1}{c}v \times H_g\right), \tag{16}$$

and:

$$r_w(t) = \frac{q}{\frac{1}{n}\rho_{r\max}r_{\min}}\left(E_{e_w} + \frac{1}{c}v_w \times H_{e_w}\right) - \left(E_{g_w} + \frac{1}{c}v_w \times H_{g_w}\right). \tag{17}$$

In (16) and (17) $r_w(t)$ is the vector of the desired acceleration of the autonomous robot motion. The subscript w denotes desired values of the related variables. The next step is the application of the concept of the external linearization in order to transform (16) into the new relation:

$$u(t) = r_w(t) - \frac{q}{\frac{1}{n}\rho_{r\max}r_{\min}}\left(E_e + \frac{1}{c}v \times H_e\right) - \left(E_g + \frac{1}{c}v \times H_g\right). \tag{18}$$

Here $u(t)$ is the internal control vector and $n=1,2,\dots,n_{\max}$ is the number of the robot steps from the minimal to the maximal radiuses in radial mass density field. From (17) and (18), one obtains the related equivalent of the linear control error model of the autonomous robot motion in the combined electromagnetic and gravitational radial mass density field given by (14) and (15), respectively. Now the phase state-space variables of the system (2) are determined by

applying the relation (3). The presented state-space model of an autonomous robot motion is given in the matrix form (6).

In order to eliminate the control error of an autonomous robot motion, caused by disturbances of the initial condition types, one can introduce internal control in the form (7). Applying (7) to (18), one obtains the new relation as the function of the maximal radial mass density value in the form:

$$E_e = \frac{\rho_{r \max} r_{\min}}{nq} [r_w(t) + K_I Z_I + K_{II} Z_{II}] - \left(\frac{1}{c} v \times H_e \right) - \frac{\rho_{r \max} r_{\min}}{nq} \left(E_g + \frac{1}{c} v \times H_g \right). \quad (19)$$

Now, let the electric field E_e is consisting of the two electric components $E_e = E_{de} + E_{ce}$. Here E_{de} is a disturbance electric field that is caused by the influence of the two-potential field to the motion of the autonomous robot in radial mass density field. The component E_{ce} is an artificial electric control field that should control autonomous robot motion in the two potential field. Including E_e from (19), one obtains the nonlinear electric control of the autonomous robot motion in the two-potential radial mass density field, as the function of the maximal radial mass density $\rho_{r \max}$:

$$E_{ce} = \frac{\rho_{r \max} r_{\min}}{nq} [r_w(t) + K_I Z_I + K_{II} Z_{II}] - \left(E_{de} + \frac{1}{c} v \times H_e \right) - \frac{\rho_{r \max} r_{\min}}{nq} \left(E_g + \frac{1}{c} v \times H_g \right). \quad (20)$$

Taking into account the relation (10), the canonical differential equations of the autonomous robot motion, in the two-potential radial mass density field, can be rewritten as a function of the maximal radial mass density value:

$$\frac{d^2 X}{dt^2} = \frac{nq}{\rho_{r \max} r_{\min}} \left(E_{de} + E_{ce} + \frac{1}{c} v \times H_e \right) + \left(E_g + \frac{1}{c} v \times H_g \right). \quad (21)$$

Applying the nonlinear control E_{ce} from (20) to the nonlinear dynamical model of the autonomous robot motion (21), one obtains the closed-loop system in the linear form:

$$\frac{d^2 X}{dt^2} = r_w(t) + K_I Z_I + K_{II} Z_{II}. \quad (22)$$

Thus, the equation (20) is the relation for the nonlinear control, which in the closed loop with a nonlinear canonical differential equations of autonomous robot motion (21), results in the linear behavior of the hole system (22). On that way the problem of control of the autonomous robot motion in the combination of an electromagnetic and gravitational radial mass density field, has been solved by employing the so-called concept of the external linearization. This is very important for application to the micro and nano robots in the applications to the drag delivery across the human body. Of cose the robots in the combination of the electromagnetic and gravitational radial mass density field can also be applied to the large areas of production engineering systems.

IV. QUANTIZATION OF AUTONOMOUS ROBOT MOTION IN ELECTROMAGNETIC AND GRAVITATIONAL FIELDS

In order to quantize the mobile robot (micro-nano robot) motion in the combination of electromagnetic and gravitational fields, one can start with the related equations [22]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M_g}{L_{g \min}} &= \frac{M_p}{L_p} = \frac{c^2}{G} = const. \quad L_{g \min} = \frac{GM_g}{c^2} = 2r_{\min}, \\ L_{g \min} &= \frac{2GM_p}{(1+\kappa)c^2} = \frac{2m_0 GM_g}{(\pm qV_e - U_{l_{\min}})} = const., \\ U_{l_{\min}} &= \pm qV_e - 2m_0 = const. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Here r_{\min} is a minimal radius, $L_{g \min}$ is a minimal length, c is the speed of the light in vacuum, M_p is Planck's mass, L_p is Planck's length, M_g is a gravitational mass, V_e is the electrical potential, q is the electrical particle charge, G is a gravitational constant and $U_{L_{\min}}$ is a potential energy at the minimal length. The total energy is maximal at the minimal length and is limited by the electrical potential energy qV_e . Using Planck's mass and Planck's length it is calculated the new parameter κ . This parameter is the energy conservation constant with value $\kappa = 0.99993392118$.

The quantization of the combined electromagnetic and gravitational fields can be realized by using the procedure given in [22]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2L_{g \min} - L_{g \min}}{L_d} &= \frac{2m_0 GM_g}{L_d (\pm qV_e - U_{l_{\min}})} \\ &= \frac{2GM_p}{L_d (1+\kappa) c^2} = n. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$n = 1, 2, \dots, n_{\max}, \quad n_{\max} \leq \frac{4GM_g}{\pi \hbar c^3} \frac{\Delta H^\wedge}{(1+\kappa)}.$$

Here L_d is the minimal distance between two quantum points, H^\wedge is the energy uncertainty and ΔT is the shortest time during which the average value of a certain physical quantity is changed by an amount equal to the standard deviation or uncertainty of time. This time should satisfy the condition, given in [13]:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H^\wedge \Delta T &\geq \frac{\hbar}{2}, \quad \Delta_\psi H^\wedge = (\langle \psi | H^{\wedge 2} | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | H^\wedge | \psi \rangle^2)^{1/2}, \\ \eta_l &\equiv t_{\min} / \tau_{cqs}, \quad \eta_{\psi \rightarrow \psi \perp} \equiv \frac{\tau_{\psi \rightarrow \psi \perp}}{\tau_{cqs}}, \\ \eta_{\psi \rightarrow \psi \perp} &= \frac{\pi \hbar}{2 \Delta H^\wedge \tau_{cqs}}, \quad \tau_{\psi \rightarrow \psi \perp} \geq \pi \hbar / 2 \Delta H^\wedge. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The quantum dynamical evolutions (24,25) are starting from a generic state $|\psi\rangle$ and is finishing in the related orthogonal state. The quantitative measure of temporal quantum state transfer efficiency is denoted by η_l , and by the shortest physically possible time t_{\min} in order to obtain the quantum transition between two quantum states. Parameter τ_{cqs} can be stated as the time, effectively spent by the controlled system or control algorithm. Parameter $\tau_{\psi \rightarrow \psi \perp}$ is the shortest

physically possible time that is spent for the transition to the state ψ_{\perp} . This is the minimum transition time between two quantum points. For determination of the minimal time in quantum dynamical evolution, one should use time depended Hamiltonian. In that case, the time-energy uncertainty relation can be used. The application of the previous theory to the mobile robot (micro-nano robot) quantum motion can be started with the minimal distance L_{dmin} between two quantum states (24, 25). Let the maximal transition velocity between two quantum points is less than the speed of the light in a vacuum. In that case, the minimal distance L_{dmin} and the maximal number of quantum points n_{max} in the region $2L_{min}-L_{min}$ are determined by the relations:

$$v < c \rightarrow L_{dmin} = v \tau_{\psi \rightarrow \psi_{\perp}}, n_{max} < \frac{2L_{gmin} - L_{gmin}}{v \tau_{\psi \rightarrow \psi_{\perp}}},$$

$$n_{max} \leq \frac{m_0 GM_g}{(\pm qV_e - U_{lmin})} = \frac{2GM_p}{L_{dmin}(1 + \kappa)v^2}.$$

(26)

For the precise motion of the autonomous robot (micro/nano robot) one can introduce desired velocity v and minimal distance L_{dmin} . In that case, from (26) one obtains the value of the maximal number of quantum points n_{max} in the region $2L_{min}-L_{min}$:

$$v = 10^{-6} \frac{m}{s}, L_{dmin} = \frac{10^{-6}}{2} m,$$

$$n_{max} \leq \frac{2GM_p}{(L_{dmin} / 2)(1 + \kappa)v^2} = 2.90533.$$

(27)

From (27) one can see that the minimal distance between two quantum states (step of autonomous robot or micro-nano robot moving) is equal to $0.5 \cdot 10^{-6} m = 0.5$ microns and the maximal number of quantum points n_{max} is less or equal to 2.90533.

V. CONTROL OF NANOROBOTS MOTION IN MULTIPOTENTIAL FIELD

The nanorobotics is the multidisciplinary field with atomic and molecular-sized objects and therefore sometimes is called molecular robotics [23]. The state of the art in nanorobotics has been presented in [24]. The one of approaches for building useful devices from nanoscale components is presented in [25]. Generally, nanorobots have various mechanical components such as nanogears built primarily with carbon atoms in a diamondoid structure. The second line of nanorobotics research involves manipulation of nanoscale objects with macroscopic instruments and related potential fields. The all of these instruments are collectively known as Scanning Probe Microscopes (SPMs). For more information on SPM technology one can see the references [26]. The spatial region in nanorobotics is the bionanorobotics [27]. The main goal in this region is to develop novel and revolutionary biomolecular machine components that can be assembled and form multi-degree of freedom nanodevices [27]. These bionanodevices should be able to apply forces and manipulate objects in the nanoworld, transfer information from the nano to the macro world, receive the information

from the macro world and also be able to travel in the nano environment. In order to control nanorobots in mechanics, electronics, electromagnetic, photonics, chemical and biomaterials regions we have to have the ability to construct the related artificial control potential fields. Thus, the first step in designing the control dynamics for nanorobots is the development of the relativistic Hamiltonian that will include external artificial potential field. This Hamiltonian has been derived and presented in [28].

Let the non-relativistic approximation of the Hamiltonian H for a nanorobot motion in a multipotential field is given by the relation:

$$H \cong m_0 c^2 + \frac{1}{2m_0} \left[\left(p_x - \frac{v_x U}{c^2} \right)^2 + \left(p_y - \frac{v_y U}{c^2} \right)^2 + \left(p_z - \frac{v_z U}{c^2} \right)^2 \right] + U. \quad (28)$$

Here m_0 is a rest mass of a nanorobot, c is a speed of the light in a vacuum, p_x , p_y , and p_z , as well as v_x , v_y , and v_z are momentums and velocities, respectively, in x , y , and z directions and U is a total potential energy of a nanorobot in a multipotential field. In the relation (28) U is a potential energy of the nanorobot in the j -th potential field. In the case where there are no quantum mechanical effects one can employ classic Hamiltonian canonic forms for designing equations of the nanorobot motion:

$$\dot{p}_x = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, \quad \dot{p}_y = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}, \quad \dot{p}_z = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial z}, \quad \dot{x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_x},$$

$$\dot{y} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_y}, \quad \dot{z} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_z}.$$

(29)

Now, one can define the so called interaction terms of a nanorobot motion in a multipotential field:

$$I_x = v_x U/c, \quad I_y = v_y U/c, \quad I_z = v_z U/c \quad (30)$$

It follows the definition of the interaction forces as functions of the interaction terms:

$$F_{I_x} = \frac{\partial I_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial I_y}{\partial z}, \quad F_{I_y} = \frac{\partial I_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial I_z}{\partial x}, \quad F_{I_z} = \frac{\partial I_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial I_x}{\partial y}. \quad (31)$$

The next definition is related to the time-varying forces as the functions of the interaction terms:

$$F_{I_x} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial I_x}{\partial t}, \quad F_{I_y} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial I_y}{\partial t}, \quad F_{I_z} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial I_z}{\partial t}. \quad (32)$$

Finally, one can define the potential forces as the function of the total potential energy of a nanorobot in a multipotential field:

$$F_{p_x} = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}, \quad F_{p_y} = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}, \quad F_{p_z} = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial z}. \quad (33)$$

Applying (1) to (4) and including the relations (5), (6), (7) and (8), one obtains the compact form of the canonical differential equations of the nanorobot motion in a multipotential field as the functions of the mentioned forces:

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_0 \ddot{x} &= F_{p_x} + F_{t_x} + \frac{1}{c} (\dot{y} F_{t_z} - \dot{z} F_{t_y}), \\
 m_0 \ddot{y} &= F_{p_y} + F_{t_y} + \frac{1}{c} (\dot{z} F_{t_x} - \dot{x} F_{t_z}), \\
 m_0 \ddot{z} &= F_{p_z} + F_{t_z} + \frac{1}{c} (\dot{x} F_{t_y} - \dot{y} F_{t_x}).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{34}$$

Following the previous consideration one can introduce the following vectors:

$$\begin{aligned}
 X &= [x \ y \ z]^T, \quad \dot{X} = [\dot{x} \ \dot{y} \ \dot{z}]^T, \quad \ddot{X} = [\ddot{x} \ \ddot{y} \ \ddot{z}]^T, \\
 F_p &= [F_{p_x} \ F_{p_y} \ F_{p_z}]^T, \quad F_t = [F_{t_x} \ F_{t_y} \ F_{t_z}]^T, \quad F_l = [F_{l_x} \ F_{l_y} \ F_{l_z}]^T.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{35}$$

Including the vectors (35) into the relations (34) one generates the vector-matrix form of the canonical differential equations of the nanorobot motion in a multipotential field:

$$m_0 \ddot{X} = F_p + F_t + \frac{1}{c} N F_l, \quad N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\dot{z} & \dot{y} \\ \dot{z} & 0 & -\dot{x} \\ -\dot{y} & \dot{x} & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{36}$$

Thus the relation (36) can be applied to the control of the nanorobots motion in the multipotential field.

VI. CONCLUSION

The presented theory is applied to the control of the robot motion in the multy potential fields. In that sense it is started with the control of the autonomous robot motion in the radial motion from the minimal to the maximal gravitational radiuses and vice-verse. It is shown that the Planck's and gravitational parameters can be described as the functions of the radial mass density values. In that case the maximal radial mass density occurs at the minimal gravitational radius of the related mass. On the other hand, the minimal radial mass density is happened at the maximal radius of the related mass. Further, the quantization of the autonomous robot (micro-nano robot) motion in the combination of electromagnetic and gravitational fields is presented. In the case of the precise motion control of the autonomous robots in the gravitational radial direction one can use the variable step of the robot motion. The presented methods of the control of the autonomous robot motion can be applied on and in the Earth, Oceans and Air.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) through the grant KK.01.1.1.07.0016 (ARCOPS).

REFERENCES

[1] Buniyamin N., Wan Ngah W.A.J., Sariff N. and Mohamad Z. A 2011 Simple Local Path Planning Algorithm for Autonomous Mobile Robots. International Journal of systems applications, Engineering and Development 5
 [2] Lyu LX, Li F, Wu K, Deng P, S H Jeong, Wu Z and Ding H

2019. Bio-inspired untethered fully soft robots in liquid actuated by induced energy gradients. National Science

Review, 6 970
 [3] Wie J J, Shankar M R and White T J 2016. Photomotility of polymers. Nature communications, 7 13260
 [4] Wehner M, Truby R L, Fitzgerald D J, Mosadegh B, Whitesides G M, Lewis J A and Wood R J 2016. An Integrated design and fabrication strategy for entirely soft, autonomous robots, Nature 536 451.
 [5] Shintake J, Rosset S, Schubert B, Floreano D and Shea H 2015. Versatile Soft Grippers with Intrinsic Electro-adhesion Based on Multifunctional Polymer Actuators. Advanced Materials 28
 [6] Ebrahimi N, Bi C, Cappelleri D J, et al, 2020. Magnetic Actuation Methods in Bio/Soft Robotics. Advanced Functional Materials 31
 [7] Novakovic, B. M. 2015. Relativistic alpha field theory – Part I,II,III, International Journal of New Technology and Research 1 23
 [8] Novakovic, B. M. 2018. Physical Properties of Energy-Momentum Tensor of Gravitational Field in RAF Theory. International Journal of New Technology and Research 4 19
 [9] Novakovic, B. 2020. Connection between Planck's and Gravitational Parameters. International Journal of New Technology and Research 5 39
 [10] Novakovic B. 2019. Energy Momentum Tensor Generates Repulsive Gravitational Force. International Journal of New Technology and Research 5 45
 [11] Novakovic B. 2021. Minimum Time Transition Between Quantum States in Gravitational Field. American Journal of Modern Physics, 10, 30
 [12] Novakovic B. 2022. Relativistic Radial Density Theory (RRDT), Universal Journal of Physics Research 1 500
 [13] Ruffo A. R. 1965. One Particle Transitions and Correlation in Quantum Mechanics. Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards A. Physics and Chemistry 69A
 [14] Yousefi M. and Nejat Pishkenari, H. 2021. Independent position control of two identical magnetic microrobots in a plane using rotating permanent magnets. Journal of Micro-Bio Robots 17 58
 [15] Christophe Ch. et al. 2017. Magnetically powered microrobots: a medical revolution underway? European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, 51, 405.
 [16] Agnese C. et al. 2018. Bead – Based Hydrodynamic Simulations of Rigid Magnetic Micropropellers. Frontiers in Robotics and AI, 5
 [17] Novakovic B. 2021. Quantization and Structure of Electromagnetic and Gravitational Fields. American Journal of Modern Physics, 10, 118
 [18] Novakovic B. 2021. Minimum Time Transition Between Quantum States in Gravitational Field. American Journal of Modern Physics, 10, 30
 [19] Kasac J. et al. 2006. Global positioning of robot manipulators with mixed revolute and prismatic joints. IEEE Transaction on automatic control 51 1035
 [20] Kasac J. et al. 2011. A Conjugate gradient-based BPTT-like optimal control algorithm with vehicle dynamics control application. IEEE Transaction on Control 19 1587
 [21] Junyoung K. et al. 2019. A robust Motion Control with Antiwindup Scheme for Electromagnetic Actuated Microrobot Using Time-Delay Estimation. IEEE/ASME Transaction on Mechatronics 24 1096
 [22] Novakovic B. 2021. Quantization and Structure of Electromagnetic and Gravitational Fields with related applications. American Journal of Modern Physics, 10, 118
 [23] Requicha, A. A. G., 2008, Nanorobotics, Laboratory for Molecular Robotics and Computer Science Department, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0781 requicha@usc.edu, web-site <http://www-lmr.usc.edu/~lmr>, 2008.
 [24] Novakovic, B., Majetic, D., Kasac, J., Brezak, D., 2009, Derivation of Hamilton functions including artificial control fields in nanorobotics, 12th International Scientific Conference on Production Engineering

CIM2009, Zagreb, p. 139-146.

[25] Gómez - López, M. , Preece, J. A. and Stoddart J. F., 1996, The art and science of self-assembling of the molecular machines, *Nanotechnology*, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 183-192, Sept. 1996.

[26] Wiesendanger, R., 1994, Scanning Probe Microscopy Methods and Applications, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, U.K.

[27] Namdi, M. , Ferreira, A., Sharma, G. and Mavroidis, C., 2007, Prototyping Bio-Nanorobots using Molecular Dynamics Simulation and Virtual Reality, *Microelectronics Journal*, 2007.

[28] Novakovic, B., Kasac, J. and Kirola, M., 2010, Dynamic Model of Nanorobot Motion in Multipotential Field, *Strojarsvo* 52, 2010.

[29] K. Junyoung et al. "A robust Motion Control with Antiwindup Scheme for Electromagnetic Actuated Microrobot Using Time-Delay Estimation," *IEEE/ASME Transaction on Mechatronics* **24** 1096 2019.

[30] K.M. Yousefi and N.H. Pishkenari, "Independent position control of two identical magnetic microrobots in a plane using rotating permanent magnets," *Journal of Micro-Bio Robots* **17** 58 2021.

[31] Ch. Christophe at all. "Magnetically powered microrobots: a medical revolution underway?" *European Journal of Cardio -Thoracic Surgery*, **51**, 405 2017.

[32] K. Junyoung et al. "A robust Motion Control with Antiwindup Scheme for Electromagnetic Actuated Microrobot Using Time-Delay Estimation," *IEEE/ASME Transaction on Mechatronics* **24** 1096 2019.

[33] H.P. Jaydeep, "Robotic assisted minimally invasive surgery," *J. Minim Access Surgery*. Jan-Mar; 5(1): 1-7, 2009. [https://doi: 10.4103/0972-9941.5131](https://doi:10.4103/0972-9941.5131)

[34] X. Yiping et al. "Design of a Novel Haptic Joystick for the Teleoperation of Continuum-Mechanism-Based Medical Robots," *Robotics*, 12(2), 52. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/robotics12020052-29Mar2023>

[35] M. T. Angelo et al. "Positioning Control of Robotic Manipulators Subject to Excitation from Non-Ideal Sources," *Robotics*, 12(2), 51. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/robotics12020051-27Mar2023>

[36] Ch. H. El Houssein, "Tractor-Robot Cooperation: A Heterogeneous Leader-Follower Approach," *Robotics* 2023, 12(2), 57. <https://doi.org/10.3390/robotics12020051-6Apr2023>

[37] H. Jiahao et al. "Indor Positioning Systems of Mobile Robots: A Review," *Robotics* 2023, 12(2), 47. <https://doi.org/10.3390/robotics12020047-24Mar2023>

[38] Yu Honfei, S. Zheng, Wu Jiantao, Li Sun, Yongliang Chen, Shuo Zhang and Zhongzhi Qin, "A New Single - Leg Lower-Limb Rehabilitation Robot: Design, Analysis and Experimental Evaluation," *Machines* **2023**, *11*(4),447; DOI: [10.3390/machines11040447](https://doi.org/10.3390/machines11040447)

[39] V. C. Kalempa, L. Piardi, M. Limeira and A. Schneider de Oliveira, "Multi - Robot Task Scheduling for Consensus - Based Fault - Resilient Intelligent Behaviour In Smart Factories," *Machines* **2023**, *11*(4), 431.



Branko Novakovic is a Professor Emeritus at FSB – University of Zagreb, Croatia. Prof. Novakovic received his PhD from the University of Zagreb in 1978. His research of interest includes physics, control systems, robotics, neural networks, and fuzzy control. He is author of three books; Relativistic Alpha Field Theory (RAFT, e-book, 2016), Control Methods in Robotics, Flexible Manufacturing Systems and Processes (1990), Control Systems (1985) and the first author of a book Artificial Neural Networks (1998). He has published over 400 research papers in his research of interest.



Josip Kasac is a Professor with Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, University of Zagreb, Croatia. His research of interest includes optimal control, engineering, fuzzy control, pattern recognition, automation and robotics, feature selection, algorithms, control systems engineering, backpropagation, neural networks, computational intelligence and machine learning. He has published over 300 research articles in his research of interest.



Danko Brezak is a Professor with Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, University of Zagreb, Croatia. His research of interest includes control systems, robotics, neural networks, and fuzzy control. His disciplines are: artificial intelligence, artificial neural network, data mining, control systems engineering, manufacturing engineering and engineering education. His skills and expertise are: medical engineering, control systems engineering, artificial neural networks, data mining, manufacturing engineering, stability, engineering education and control theory. He has published over 300 research papers in his research of interest.