

# Existence and Uniqueness of Optimal Control of Impulsive Quasi-Linear Fractional Mixed Volterra–Fredholm- Type Integro - Differential Equations in Banach Spaces with Multiple Delays in the Control

Paul Anaetodike Oraekie

**Abstract—** The Impulsive Quasi - Linear Fractional Mixed Volterra - Fredholm – Type Integro – Differential Equations in Banach Spaces with Multiple Delays in the Control is presented for controllability analysis. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for the Existence of an Optimal Control for the System are established. The mild solution of the system is established using the variation of constant formula. The set functions upon which our study hinged were extracted. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the establishment of the uniqueness of the system were derived. Use was made of some controllability standards to establish results. The establishment of the uniqueness of the optimal control provided a new approach for the proof of the existence of an optimal control of any dynamical control system. The main result is built on the maximization of a set function; a technique drawn from the calculus of variation.

**Index Terms—** Multiple Delays, Optimal Control, Relative Controllability, Impulsive Quasi – Linear, Fractional. Set Functions, Uniqueness.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Highlight The pioneering work of Vito Volterra on the Integration of the differential equations of dynamics and partial differential dynamical systems published in 1884 gave vent to the conception of integral equation of volterra type **Robertson** [1]. It is equally observed in **Balachandran** [2] that the mixed initial boundary hyperbolic partial differential equation which arises in the study of lossless transmission lines can be replaced by an associated neutral differential equation. This equivalence has been the basis of a number of investigations of the stability properties of distributed network **Balachandran** [3] which study has been extended to compartmental models governed by neutral Volterra integro-differential equations. Compartmental models have been found in **Burton** [4] to have numerous applications in Applied Mathematics; these models are used to vividly describe the evolutions of systems, in theoretical epidemiology, physiology, population dynamics chemical reaction kinetics and the analysis of ecosystems **Gyori** [5].

Most of these models can be divided into separate compartments. A paradigm for such systems can be seen as one in which compartments are connected by pipes through which materials pass in definite time. An example of compartmental model is given in **Gyori** [5] as the radio cardiogram where the two compartments correspond to the left and right ventricles of the heart and the pipe between these compartments represent the pulmonary and systemic circulations. Other applications of Volterra Integro-differential equation arise in tracer kinetics in the modeling of uptake of potassium by red blood cells as well as in modeling the kinetic of lead in a body ([4], [5]). The wide application of Volterra integro-differential equations in Bio-Mathematics and economic models underscores the immense interest the study has generated. Literature on the relative controllability of Volterra equations is still scanty. However, sufficient conditions for the relative controllability of Non-linear neutral Volterra Integro-differential equations have been provided in **Balachandran** [2]. However, the systems with delays in the state, investigation into their relative controllability are still attracting attention and interest. The controllability and approximate controllability of delay Volterra systems were investigated by using fixed point theorem **Oraekie** [6]. The controllability and Local null controllability of Nonlinear Integrodifferential Systems and Functional Differential systems in Banach spaces were studied and it was shown that the controllability problem in Banach spaces can be converted into one of a fixed point problem for a single-valued mapping [7]. **Balachandran** and co-workers studied the controllability of Sobolev-type Partial Functional Differential Systems in Banach Spaces [8]. While **Oraekie** [6] studied the Retarded Functional Differential Systems of Sobolev-Type in Banach Spaces and established that once a system of the Sobolev – type is controllable with a single delay in the control of the system, then it is also controllable with either multiple delays or distributed delays or both multiple and distributed delays in the control. However, necessary and sufficient conditions for the target set of Nonlinear Infinite spaces of Functional Differential systems with Distributed Delays in the control to be on the boundary of the Attainable set of the system have been provided in **Oraekie** [9]. It was made clear that whenever an optimal control is in use to steer the system of interest from

**Dr Sir Paul Anaetodike Oraekie**, Department of Mathematics  
Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Uli – Campus Anambra  
State, Nigeria, West Africa.

the initial point to the target (**desired point**), then the target set must be on the boundary of the attainable set of the system **Oraekie [10]**. Optimality conditions for the relative controllability of neutral Volterra Integro-differential equation has been provided by **Oraekie [11]**; though there are studies in the optimal controllability of ordinary and functional differential systems. From ([12], [13], [14]), we gain clarity of meaning and understanding of the conceptual frame work of optimal controllability.

Many processes studied Applied Sciences are represented by differential Equations. However, the situation is quite different in many physical phenomena that have sudden change in their states such as mechanical systems with impact, biological systems such as heart beats, blood flow, population dynamics, theoretical physics, radio physics, pharmacokinetics, mathematical economy, chemical technology, biotechnology and medicine etc. Adequate mathematical models of such processes are systems of differential equation with impulses. The theory of impulsive differential and integro-differential equations is a new and important branch of differential equations ([15], [16]).

Fractional differential equations have recently proved to be

*In this paper, therefore, we shall consider Impulsive Quasi  
–linear fractional mixed*

*Volterra – Fredholm – type Integro – differential equations in Banach spaces with  
Multiple delays in the control of the form:*

$$D^q x(t) = A(t, x)x(t) + \sum_{j=0}^m B_j u(t - h_j) + f\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds, \int_0^b k(t, s, x(s)) ds\right) \quad (1.1)$$

$$t \in J = [0, b], t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$$

$$\Delta_x |_{t=t_k} = I_k(x(t_k^{-1})), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m \quad (1.2)$$

$$x(0) = x_0 \quad (1.3)$$

with the main objective, of investigating the existence of an optimal control of the systems (1.1)

### 1. 1. Description of System

Consider system (1.1) – (1.3) – the impulsive quasi-linear fractional mixed Volterra-Fredholm-type integro-differential equations in Banach spaces with multiple delays in the control given above. That is,

$$D^q x(t) = A(t, x)x(t) + \sum_{j=0}^m B_j u(t - h_j) + f\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds, \int_0^b k(t, s, x(s)) ds\right) \quad (1.1)$$

$$t \in J = [0, b], t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$$

$$\Delta_x |_{t=t_k} = I_k(x(t_k^{-1})), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m \quad (1.2)$$

$$x(0) = x_0 \quad (1.3)$$

Here, the state variable  $x(\cdot)$  takes values in the Banach space  $X$  and  $u(\cdot)$  is a control function is an admissible square integrable  $m$  – dimensional vector function, with  $U$  as a Banach space. i. e.  $u \in L_2(J, U)$ . Here,  $0 < q < 1$ , and  $A(t, x)$  is a bounded linear operator on a Banach space  $X$ . Further more,  $f : J \times X \times X \times X \rightarrow X, g : \Omega \times X \rightarrow X, k : \Omega \times X \rightarrow X, I_k : X \rightarrow X, \Delta_x |_{t=t_k} = x((t_k^+) - x(t_k^-),$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ;

$$0 < t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m < t_{m+1} = t_1; \Omega = \{(t, s), 0 \leq s \leq t_1\}.$$

Thus the control space will be the Lebesgue space of square integrable functions.

The constraint control set  $U$  is the closed and bounded subset of  $L_2$ .

Let  $h > 0$ , be given. For a function  $u : [-h, t_1] \rightarrow X$  and  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ ,

we use the symbol  $u_t$  to denote the function defined on the delay interval  $[-h, 0]$

$$\text{by } u_t(s) = u(t + s), \text{ for } s \in [-h, 0].$$

#### Definition 2. 1. (complete state)

The complete state for system(1.1) is given by the set

$$z(t) = \{x, u_t\}$$

#### Definition 2. 2.

The system(1.1) is said to be relatively controllable on the interval  $[t_0, t_1]$  if for every initial complete state  $z(0)$  and  $x_1 \in X$ , there exists a control function  $u(t)$

valuable tools in the modeling of many phenomena in various fields of sciences and engineering (**Banila[17], [18]**). There has been significant development in fractional differential equations in recent years( [19], [20], and [21] ) **Recently, Balachandran [22]** studied the existence results for Impulsive fractional differential and Integro-differential equations in Banach spaces using standard fixed point theorems.

Controllability is the most important qualitative behavior of any dynamical system. It is well known that the issue of controllability plays an important role in control theory and engineering( [23], [24] and [25] ) because they have close connections to structural decomposition, quadratic optimal control, observer design etc. The literature related to controllability of Impulsive fractional Integro-differential equations and controllability of Impulsive Quasi-linear Integro-differential equations is limited, to our knowledge, to the recent works ( [17], [26] ). The study of controllability of Impulsive Quasi-linear fractional mixed Volterra-Fredholm-type integro-differential equations is presented in **Kavitha [27]**.

defined on  $[t_0, t_1]$  such that the solution  $x(\cdot)$  of the system (1.1) satisfies  $x(t_1) = x_1$

### 2.3. Basic Definitions of Fractional Calculus

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $R^+ = [0, \infty)$ . Suppose  $f \in L_1(R^+)$ . Let  $C(J, X)$  be the Banach space of continuous functions  $x(t)$  with  $x(t) \in X$  for  $t \in J = [t_0, t_1]$  and

$$\|x\|_{C(J, X)} = \max_{t \in J} \|x(t)\|.$$

Let  $B(X)$  denote the Banach space of bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $X$

with the norm  $\|A\|_{B(X)} = \sup\{\|A(y)\| : \|y\| = 1\}$

Also consider the Banach space  $PC(J, X) = \{x : J \rightarrow X : x \in C([t_k, t_{k+1}], X)\}$ ,

$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$  and there exists  $x(t_k^-)$  and  $x(t_k^+)$  with  $x(t_k^-) = x(t_k)$

with the norm  $\|x\|_{PC} = \sup_{t \in J} \|x(t)\|$ .

#### Definition 2.3.1

The Riemann – Liouville fractional integral operator of order  $\alpha > 0$ , of function  $f \in L_1(R^+)$  is defined as

$$\int_{0^+}^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds$$

where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Euler Gamma function.

#### Definition 2.3.2

The Riemann – Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\alpha > 0, n-1 < \alpha < n, n \in N$

is defined as :  $D_{0^+}^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^n \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f(s) ds$ ,

Where the function  $f(t)$  has absolutely continuous derivatives up to order  $(n-1)$ .

#### Definition 2.3.3

The Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha > 0, n-1 < \alpha < n, n \in N$  is defined as:

$$D_{0^+}^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f^{(n)}(s) ds,$$

Where the function  $f(t)$  has absolutely continuous derivatives up to order  $(n-1)$ .

If  $n = 1$ , then,  $n-1 < \alpha < n = 0 < \alpha < 1$ , implies that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0^+}^{\alpha} f(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{1-\alpha-1} f^1(s) ds = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} f^1(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\alpha}} f^1(s) ds = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{f^1(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha}} ds \end{aligned}$$

Where,  $f^1(s) = Df(s) = \frac{df(s)}{ds}$  and  $f$  is an abstract function with values in  $X$ .

### 2.4. Variation of Constant Formula

From the works of [21] and [28], we have the Mild solution of systems (1.1) – (1.3) as the following integral equation :

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} A(s, x) x(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} A(s, x) x(s) ds + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m B_j(s) u(t-h_j) \right] ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} f \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^m B_j(s) u(s-h_j) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{q-1} f \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(x(t_k^-)) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.1}$$

One may assume without loss of generality that,

$$h_m > h_{m-1} > h_{m-2} > \dots > h_1 > h_0 = 0$$

The initial control  $u_0(t)$  is given on  $[t_0 - h_m, t_0]$ ,  $t_0 = 0$

The solution of the system (1.1) for  $t > t_0 + h_m$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t, x_0, u) = & x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\
 & + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^m B_j(s)u(t - h_j) ds \\
 + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} & (t_k - s)^{q-1} f \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{j=0}^m B_j(s)u(s - h_j) \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} ds \\
 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t & (t - s)^{q-1} f \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(x(t_k^-)) \tag{2.3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t, x_0, u) = & x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\
 + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} & \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (t_k - s + h_j)^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^m B_j(s + h_j)u(t - h_j + h_j) ds, \text{ for } k = 1 \\
 + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} & (t_k - s)^{q-1} f \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1 - h_j}^{t - h_j} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(t - h_j + h_j) ds \\
 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t & (t - s)^{q-1} f \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(x(t_k^-)) \tag{2.3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow, x(t, x_0, u) = & x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\
 + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} & \int_{t_0 - h_j}^{t_0} (t_0 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u_0(s - h_j + h_j) ds, \text{ for } k = 1 \\
 & + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_1 - h_j + 1}^{t_1 - h_j} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s - h_j + h_j) ds \\
 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} & (t_k - s)^{q-1} f \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1 - h_j}^{t - h_j} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(t - h_j + h_j) ds \\
 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t & (t - s)^{q-1} f \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(x(t_k^-)) \tag{2.3.4} \\
 \Rightarrow, x(t, x_0, u) = & x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\
 & + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_0-h_j}^{t_0} (t_0 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u_0(s - h_j + h_j) ds, \text{ for } k = 1 \\
 & + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t_1-h_j} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s - h_j + h_j) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} f \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t_1-h_j} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s - h_j + h_j) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} f \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(x(t_k^-)) \tag{2.3.5} \\
 \text{Put } \mathbf{G}(s) = & f \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

system(2.3.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t, x_0, u) = & x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\
 & + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_0-h_j}^{t_0} (t_0 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u_0(s) ds \\
 & + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t_1-h_j} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} \mathbf{G}(s) ds \\
 & + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t_1-h_j} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} \mathbf{G}(s) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(x(t_k^-)) \tag{2.3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

For brevity, let

$$\begin{aligned}
 \boldsymbol{\mu}(t) = & x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\
 & + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_0-h_j}^{t_0} (t_0 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u_0(s) ds \tag{2.3.7} \\
 \boldsymbol{\beta}(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} \mathbf{G}(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} \mathbf{G}(s) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(x(t_k^-)) \quad (2.3.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}(t, s)u(s)ds &= \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t_1-h_j} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s)ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t-h_j} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s)ds \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.9)$$

Substituting equations (2.3.7) and (2.3.8) in (2.3.6), we have the mild solution:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t, x_0, u) &= \mu(t) + \beta(t) + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t_1-h_j} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s)ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t-h_j} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s)ds \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.10)$$

## 2.4 . Basic Set Function and Properties

We shall define the set functions upon which our study hinges

### Definition 2.4.1.(reachable set)

The reachable set  $R(t_1, t_0)$  of the systems (2.1) – (2.3) is given as

$$R(t_1, t_0) = \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t_1-h_j} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s)ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t-h_j} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s)ds : \\ &|u_j| \leq 1 \text{ for every } j \text{ and } u_j \in U \subseteq L_2(J, X^m); j = 1, 2, \dots, m \end{aligned} \right\}$$

### Definition 2.4.2. (attainable set)

The attainable set  $A(t_1, t_0)$  of the systems (2.1) – (2.3) is given as

$$A(t_1, t_0) = \{x(t, x_0, u) : u \in U\},$$

$$\text{where } U = \{u \in L_2([t_0, t_1], X^m) : |u_j| \leq 1; j = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$$

### Definition 2.4.3. (target set)

The target set  $G(t_1, t_0)$  of the systems (2.1) – (2.3) is given as

$$G(t_1, t_0) = \{x(t, x_0, u) : t \geq \tau > t_0 = 0, \text{ for fixed } \tau \text{ and } u \in U\}.$$

### Definition 2.4.4.(controllability grammian)

The controllability grammian  $W(t_1, t_0)$  of the systems (2.1) – (2.3) is given as

$$W(t_1, t_0) = \mathbf{Z}(t, s)\mathbf{Z}^T(t, s), \text{ where } T \text{ denotes matrix transpose.}$$

## 2.5. Relationship between the set functions

We shall first establish the relationship between the attainable set and the reachable set to enable us see that once a property has been proved for one set, and then it is applicable to the other.

From the equation (2.3.6), we have the attainable set  $A(t_1, t_0)$  as:

$$A(t_1, t_0) = [\eta(t) + R(t_1, t_0)],$$

for  $u \in U, t \in [t_0, t_1]$ , where  $\eta(t) = \mu(t) + \beta(t)$ .

This means that the attainable set is the translation of the reachable set through the

origin  $\eta \in X^n$ . Using the attainable set, therefore, it is easy to show that the set

functions possess the properties of convexity, closedness, boundedness, and compactness.

Also, the set functions are continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  to the metric space of compact subsets of  $X^n$ .

[12] and [29] gave the impetus for adoption of the proofs of these

properties for systems (2.1) – (2.3) or systems (1.1) – (1.3).

### Definition 2.5.1(relative controllability)

System(2.1) is relatively controllable on the interval  $[t_0, t_1]$  if

$$A(t_1, t_0) \cap G(t_1, t_0) \neq \emptyset; t_1 > t_0$$

### Definition 2.5.2. (properness)

System(2.1) is proper in  $X$  on  $[t_0, t_1]$  if  $\text{span } R(t_1, t_0) = X^n$

$$\text{i.e. } C^T \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t_1-h_j} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s)ds$$

$$+C^T \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t-h_j} (t-s+h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s+h_j)u(s)ds = 0 \text{ a.e. } \Rightarrow C = 0, C \in X^n.$$

### 3. Main Work

The optimal control problem can best be understood in the context of a game of pursuit ([30], [31]). The emphasis here is the search for a control energy function that can steer the state of the system of interest to the target set which can be a moving point function or a compact set function in minimum time. In other words, the optimal control problem is stated as follows: If

$$t^* = \text{infimum}\{t : A(t_1, t_0) \cap G(t_1, t_0) \neq \emptyset \text{ for } t \in [t_0, t_1]\}, t_1 > t_0$$

That is, if  $t^*$  is the infimum of all the times such that the system is relatively controllable, Is there an admissible control  $u^*$  such that the solution of the system with this admissible control be steered into target? The theorem that follows answers in part the questioners.

#### Theorem 3.1. (Existence Condition)

Consider the systems (1.1) – (1.3) as a differential game of pursuit :

$$D^q x(t) = A(t, x)x(t) + \sum_{j=0}^m B_j u(t-h_j) + f\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds, \int_0^b k(t, s, x(s)) ds\right) \quad (1.1)$$

$$t \in J = [0, b], t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$$

$$\Delta_x|_{t=t_k} = I_k(x(t_k^-)), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m \quad (1.2)$$

$$x(0) = x_0 \quad (1.3)$$

with its standing assumptions. Suppose  $A(t_1, t_0)$  and  $G(t_1, t_0)$  are compact set functions, then there exists an admissible control such that the state of the weapon for the pursuit of the target satisfies systems (1.1) – (1.3) if and only if

$$A(t_1, t_0) \cap G(t_1, t_0) \neq \emptyset \text{ for } t \in [t_0, t_1].$$

#### Proof

Suppose that the state  $z(t)$  of the weapon for pursuit of the target satisfies systems (1.1) – (1.3) on the time interval  $[t_0, t_1]$ , then  $z(t) \in G(t_1, t_0)$  for  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ .

We need to show that there exists  $x(t, u) \in A(t_1, t_0)$  for  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$  such that

$$z(t) = x(t, u), \text{ for some } u \in U.$$

Let  $\{u^n\}$  be a sequence of points in  $U$ . since the constraint control set is compact, then the sequence  $\{u^n\}$  has a limit  $u$  as  $n$  tends to infinity

$$\text{i.e. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^n = u$$

Now  $x(t, x_0, u) \in A(t_1, t_0)$ , for  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$  and from system (2.3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t, x_0, u^n) &= x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_0-h_j}^{t_0} (t_0 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u_0^n(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t_1-h_j} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u^n(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} G(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t-h_j} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u^n(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} G(s) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(x(t_k^-)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limits on both sides as  $n$  tends to infinity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(t, x_0, u^n) &= x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} A(s, x) x(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} A(s, x) x(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_0 - h_j}^{t_0} (t_0 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_0^n(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_1 - h_j + 1}^{t_1 - h_j} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^n(s) ds \\
 &\quad \quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} \mathbf{G}(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1 - h_j + 1}^{t - h_j} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^n(s) ds \\
 &\quad \quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} \mathbf{G}(s) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(x(t_k^-)) \\
 = x(t, x_0, u) &= x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} A(s, x) x(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} A(s, x) x(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_0 - h_j}^{t_0} (t_0 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) u_0^n(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_1 - h_j + 1}^{t_1 - h_j} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) u^n(s) ds \\
 &\quad \quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} \mathbf{G}(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1 - h_j + 1}^{t - h_j} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) u^n(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} \mathbf{G}(s) ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(x(t_k^-)) = x(t, x_0, u) \in A(t_1, t_0),
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A(t_1, t_0)$  is compact.

Thus, there exists a control  $u \in U$  such that  $x(t, x_0, u) = z(t)$  for  $t > t_0$  and

$t \in [t_0, t_1]$ .

Since  $z(t) \in G(t_1, t_0)$  and also in  $A(t_1, t_0)$ , it follows that  $A(t_1, t_0) \cap G(t_1, t_0) \neq \emptyset$  for

$t \in [t_0, t_1]$ .

Conversely, suppose that the intersection condition holds.

**i. e.**  $A(t_1, t_0) \cap G(t_1, t_0) \neq \emptyset$  for  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ ,

Then there exists  $z(t) \in A(t_1, t_0)$  such that  $z(t) \in G(t_1, t_0)$ . This implies that

$z(t) = x(t, x_0, u)$  and hence establishes that the state of the weapon of pursuit of the target satisfies systems (1.1) – (1.3). This completes the proof.

**REMARK 3.4**

The above stated and prove theorem 3.4 in other words states that, in any game of pursuit described by Impulsive Quasi-Linear Fractional Mixed Volterra - Fredholm Type Integro – Differential Equations in Banach Spaces with Multiple Delays in the Control, it is always possible to obtain a control energy function to steer the systems’ state to the target in finite time. However, a pyrrhic victory is desirable and is therefore, the insistence for the search of an optimal control. The next theorem is therefore, a consequence of this understanding and provides sufficient conditions for the existence of a control energy function that is capable of steering the state of the systems (1.1)– (1.3) via-a-via systems (2.1) – (2.3) to target set in minimum time.

**3.5. Sufficient Conditions for the Existence of an Optimal Control**

The theorem below informs us that relative controllability of a system guarantees the existence of its optimal control [32].

**Theorem 3.2**



Consider the systems(1.1)- (1.3)via – a – via systems (2.1)- (2.3)

$$D^q x(t) = A(t, x)x(t) + \sum_{j=0}^m B_j u(t - h_j) + f \left( t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds, \int_0^b k(t, s, x(s)) ds \right) \quad (1.1)$$

$$t \in J = [0, b], t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$$

$$\Delta_x |_{t=t_k} = I_k(x(t_k^-)), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m \quad (1.2)$$

$$x(0) = x_0 \quad (1.3)$$

With its basic assumptions. Suppose that system (1.1) is relatively controllable on the finite interval  $[t_0, t_1]$ , then there exists an optimal control. By the controllability of system(1.1), the intersection condition holds.

That is,  $A(t_1, t_0) \cap G(t_1, t_0) \neq \emptyset$  for  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ .

Hence,  $x(t, x_0, u) \in A(t_1, t_0)$ . Also,  $x(t, x_0, u) \in G(t_1, t_0)$ . So, put  $z(t) = x(t, x_0, u)$ .

Recall that the attainable set  $A(t_1, t_0)$  is a translation of the reachable set  $R(t_1, t_0)$  through the origin  $\eta$ ,

$$i.e. A(t_1, t_0) = \eta + R(t_1, t_0),$$

where  $\eta$  is given as :

$$\eta = \mu(t) + \beta(t),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} A(t_1, t_0) = & x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} A(s, x)x(s) ds \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_0 - h_j}^{t_0} (t_0 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u_0(s) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{q-1} G(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{q-1} G(s) ds \\ & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} I_k(x(t_k^-)) + R(t_1, t_0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $z(t) \in R(t_1, t_0)$  for  $t \in [t_1, t_0], t_1 > t_0$  and can be defined as:

$$z(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} \int_{t_1 - h_j + 1}^{t_1 - h_j} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s) ds \\ + \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1 - h_j + 1}^{t - h_j} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j)u(s) ds : \\ |u_j| \leq 1, \text{ for every } j \text{ and } u_j \in U \subseteq L_2(J, X^m); j = 1, 2, \dots, m \end{array} \right\}$$

Let  $t^* = \infimum\{t : z(t) \in R(t_1, t_0), \text{ for } t \in [t_1, t_0]\}$ . Now  $0 \leq t_n \leq t_1$  and there is a sequence of times  $\{t_n\}$  and the corresponding sequence of controls  $u^n : \{u^n\} \subset U$  with the sequence  $\{t_n\}$  converging to  $t^*$ , the minimum time.

Let,  $z(t_n) = y(t_n, u^n) \in R(t_1, t_0)$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} |z(t^*) - y(t^*, u^n)| & \leq |z(t^*) - z(t_n) + z(t_n) - y(t^*, u^n)| \\ & \leq |z(t^*) - z(t_n)| + |z(t_n) - y(t^*, u^n)| \\ & \leq |z(t^*) - z(t_n)| + |y(t_n, u^n) - y(t^*, u^n)| \\ & \leq |z(t^*) - z(t_n)| + \int_{t^*}^{t_n} \|y(s)\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of  $z(t)$ , which follows the continuity of  $R(t_1, t_0)$  as a continuous set function and the integrability of  $\|y(s)\|$ , it follows that  $y(t^*, u^n) \rightarrow z(t^*)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where,

$$z(t^*) = y(t^*, u^*) \in R(t_1, t_0).$$

For some  $u^* \in U$  and by the definition of  $t^*$ ;  $u^*$  is an optimal control.

This establishes the existence of an optimal control for the Impulsive Quasi – linear Fractional Mixed Volterra – Fredholm – Type Integro – differential Equations in Banach spaces with Multiple Delays in the Control.

### 3.6 .Uniqueness of the Optimal Control.

Here, a new method of approach is derived for the proof of the existence of optimal control

**Theorem 3.3**

Consider the systems(1.1)- (1.3) via – a – via systems (2.1)- (2.3)

$$D^q x(t) = A(t, x)x(t) + \sum_{j=0}^m B_j u(t - h_j) + f \left( t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds, \int_0^b k(t, s, x(s)) ds \right) \quad (1.1)$$

$$t \in J = [0, b], t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$$

$$\Delta_x |_{t=t_k} = I_k(x(t_k^{-1})), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m \quad (1.2)$$

$$x(0) = x_0 \quad (1.3)$$

With its basic assumptions. Suppose that system (1.1) is relatively controllable on the finite interval  $[t_0, t_1]$ . Suppose that  $u^*$  the optimal control of system(1.1), then it is unique.

**Proof**

Let  $u^*$  and  $v^*$  be optimal controls of system(1.1), then both  $u^*$  and  $v^*$  maximize

$$\left[ C^T \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) + C^T \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right]$$

For  $t \in [t_0, t_1], t_1 > 0$ , over all admissible controls  $u \in U$ , and so we have the inequality with  $u^*$  as the optimal control

$$\begin{aligned} & C^T \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t_1-h_j} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u(s) ds \\ & \quad + C^T \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t-h_j} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u(s) ds \\ \leq & C^T \int_{t_1^*-h_{j+1}}^{t_1^*-h_j} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1^* - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u^*(s) ds \\ & \quad + C^T \int_{t_1^*-h_{j+1}}^{t^*-h_j} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t^* - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u^*(s) ds \quad (3.3) \end{aligned}$$

Also, using  $v^*$ , as the optimal control, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & C^T \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t_1-h_j} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u(s) ds \\ & \quad + C^T \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t-h_j} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u(s) ds \\ \leq & C^T \int_{t_1^*-h_{j+1}}^{t_1^*-h_j} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1^* - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] v^*(s) ds \\ & \quad + C^T \int_{t_1^*-h_{j+1}}^{t^*-h_j} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t^* - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] v^*(s) ds \quad (3.4). \end{aligned}$$

Taking maximum of  $u$  over  $[-1, 1]$ , the range of definition of  $u^*, v^*$  in (3.3) and (3.4) respectively, we have the equations.

$$\begin{aligned} & C^T \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t_1-h_j} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u(s) ds \\ & \quad + C^T \int_{t_1-h_{j+1}}^{t-h_j} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= C^T \int_{t^{*1-h_{j+1}}}^{t^{*1-h_j}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1^* - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u^*(s) ds \\
 &\quad + C^T \int_{t^{*1-h_{j+1}}}^{t^{*1-h_j}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t^* - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u^*(s) ds \quad (3.5).
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $-1 \leq s \leq 1$  and  $u, u^* \in U$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &C^T \int_{t_{1-h_{j+1}}}^{t_{1-h_j}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u(s) ds \\
 &\quad + C^T \int_{t_{1-h_{j+1}}}^{t_{1-h_j}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u(s) ds \\
 &= C^T \int_{t^{*1-h_{j+1}}}^{t^{*1-h_j}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1^* - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] v^*(s) ds \\
 &\quad + C^T \int_{t^{*1-h_{j+1}}}^{t^{*1-h_j}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t^* - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] v^*(s) ds \quad (3.6).
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $-1 \leq s \leq 1$  and  $u, v^* \in U, v^*$  being optimal control.

Subtracting equation(3.6) from equation(3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= C^T \int_{t_{1-h_{j+1}}}^{t_{1-h_j}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u^*(s) ds \\
 &\quad + C^T \int_{t_{1-h_{j+1}}}^{t_{1-h_j}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] u^*(s) ds \\
 &\quad - C^T \int_{t^{*1-h_{j+1}}}^{t^{*1-h_j}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1^* - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] v^*(s) ds \\
 &\quad + C^T \int_{t^{*1-h_{j+1}}}^{t^{*1-h_j}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t^* - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] v^*(s) ds \\
 0 &= C^T \int_{t_{1-h_{j+1}}}^{t_{1-h_j}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] [u^*(s) ds - v^*(s)] ds \\
 &\quad + C^T \int_{t_{1-h_{j+1}}}^{t_{1-h_j}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] [u^*(s) ds - v^*(s)] ds \\
 \Rightarrow 0 &= \left\{ \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \sum_{0 < t_1 < t} (t_1 - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] + \left[ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} (t - s + h_j)^{q-1} B_j(s + h_j) \right] \right\} \{u^*(s) - v^*(s)\} \\
 \Rightarrow 0 &= u^*(s) - v^*(s), \Rightarrow u^*(s) = v^*(s).
 \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the uniqueness of the optimal control for the system (1.1).

and an optimal control for the system exists .

#### IV. CONCLUSION

We have established necessary and sufficient conditions for the system- Impulsive Quasilinear Fractional Mixed Volterra-Fredholm Type Integro-differential Equations in Banach spaces with Multiple Delays in the Control, to have an optimal control. We have also established the relationship between the Relative Controllability of our system and the Intersection of its two set functions namely- Attainable set and Targes set showing that if  $A(t_1, t_0) \cap G(t_1, t_0) \neq \emptyset$  for  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ , then the system is relatively controllable

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Robertson, E.F and J.J. O'Connor (2005), Biography of Vito Volterra (3/5/1860-11/10/1940), Publication of the School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of St. Andrew, Scotland.
- [2] Balachandran, K and Dauer (1989), Relative Controllability of Perturbations of Nonlinear Systems, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications.vol.6, pp51-56.
- [3] Balachandran, K and Dauer (1997), Asymptotic Neutral Volterra Integro-differential Systems, Journal of Mathematical Systems' Estimation, vol.7, N02, pp1-4.

## Existence and Uniqueness of Optimal Control of Impulsive Quasi-Linear Fractional Mixed Volterra–Fredholm- Type Integro - Differential Equations in Banach Spaces with Multiple Delays in the Control

- [4] Burton, T.A. (1983), Volterra Integral and Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York.
- [5] Gyori, I and Wu (1991), Neutral Equation Arising from Compartmental Systems with Pipes, Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations; 3, pp289-311.
- [6] Oraekie, P.A. (2016); Controllability Results of Retarded Functional Differential System of Sobolev-Type in Banach Spaces with Multiple Delays in the Control, Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics, Vol.34, pp13-20.
- [7] Chukwu, E.N. (1988), The Time Optimal Control theory of Linear Differential Equations of Neutral Type, Journal Computer Mathematics and Applications, vol.16, pp851-866
- [8] Brill (1977); A Semilinear Sobolev Equation in Banach Spaces, Journal of Differential Equations, 24, pp412-425.
- [9] Oraekie, P.A. (2017); Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for the Target set of a Nonlinear Infinite Space of Neutral Functional Differential Systems with Distributed Delays in the Control to be on the Boundary of the Attainable set, Journal Computer Mathematics and Applications, vol.41, pp21-26 .
- [10] Oraekie, P.A. (2015); Location of the Target set on Semilinear Dynamical Systems with Multiple Delays in the Control, Reiko International Journal of Science and Technology, Vol 6, N2A, pp62-65.
- [11] Oraekie, P.A. (2013), The Relative Controllability of Neutral Volterra Integro-differential Systems with zero in the Interior of the Reachable set, African Journal of Sciences, Vol.14, N01; pp3271-3282.
- [12] Chukwu, E.N. (1988), The Time Optimal Control theory of Linear Differential Equations of Neutral Type, Journal Computer Mathematics and Applications, vol.16, pp851- 866.
- [13] Hmanmed, A. (1986), Stability Conditions of Delay Differential Systems, International Journal of Control, vol.43, N02, pp455- 463.
- [14] Klamka, J. (1976) ,Relative Controllability of Nonlinear Systems with distributed Delays in Control, International Journal of Control, 28, pp307 – 312.
- [15] Rogovchenko, Y.V. (1997), Nonlinear Impulsive Evolution Systems and Applications to Population Models, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol.2007, N02, pp300 – 315.
- [16] Hernandez E. (2002), A Second Order Impulsive Cauchy Problem, International Journal of Mathematics, Science, 31, N08, pp451 – 461.
- [17] Banila, B., Rivero M. Rodriguez-Germa L and J J Trujillo (2007), Fractional Differential Equations as Alternative Models to Nonlinear Differential Equations, Applied Mathematics Computation 87, pp79 – 88.
- [18] Agarwal, R.P., Belmekki and M.Benchohra (2009), A survey on Semi-linear Differential Equations and Inclusions involving Riemann – Liouville Fractional Derivative, Advances in Differential Equations, Article ID 981728, 47 pages.
- [19] Miller, K. S and B. Ross (1993), an Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. , New York.
- [20] Lakshmikantham, V and A.S.Vatsala (2008), Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations, Nonlinear Analysis 69, pp2677 – 2682.
- [21] Balachandran K, Kiruthika and J.J.Trujillo (2010) ,Existence Results for Fractional Impulsive Integro –differential Equations in Banach Spaces, Commune Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat, doi; 10.1016/J.cnsns.2010.08.005.1.2.3.2.2.4.
- [22] Balachandran K, Park, J.Y and S.H.Park (2010) ,Controllability of Nonlocal Impulsive Quasi-linear Integro-differential Systems in Banach Spaces, Reports on Mathematical Physics.65; 2, pp247-257.
- [23] Benchohra and Ouahab (2005) ,Controllability Results for Fractional Semi-linear Differential Inclusions in Frechet Spaces, Nonlinear Analysis, 65; pp405 – 423.
- [24] Balachandran, K and J.H.Kim (2006) ,Remarks on the paper, Controllability of Second Order Differential Inclusion in Banach Spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications; 285, pp537 – 550.
- [25] Chang, Y.K, Nieto J.J and W.S.Li (2009), Controllability of Semi-linear Differential Systems with Nonlocal Initial Conditions in Banach Spaces, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 142; pp267 – 273.
- [26] Tai, Z and X. Wang (2009), Controllability of Fractional-order Impulsive Neutral Functional Infinite Delay Integro-differential Systems in Banach Spaces, Applied Mathematics Letters, 22; pp1760 – 1765.
- [27] Kavitha V and M. Mallika Arjunan (2011) , Controllability of Impulsive Quasi-linear Fractional Mixed Volterra-Fredholm-Type Integro-differential Equations in Banach Spaces, Journal of Nonlinear Science and Application, vol.4, pp152- 169.
- [28] Hernandez, E., Donal, O.Regan and K. Balachandran (2010) ,On Recent Developments in Theory of Abstract Differential Equations with Fractional Derivatives, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications, 73, N015, pp3462 – 3471.
- [29] Gyori, I . (1982), Delay Differential Equations in Biological Compartmental Models Systems Science (Wroclaw) Poland, 8, pp7 – 187.
- [30] Hajek, O. (1994), Duality for Differential Games and Optimal Control, Journal of Mathematical Systems' Theory, vol.8.
- [31] Heyman, M and J.Ritov (1994) , On Linear Pursuit Games with an Unknown Trap, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol.42; pp421 – 425.
- [32] Onwnatu, J.U. (1993), “Null controllability of Nonlinear infinite Neutral systems”, Kybernetika, vol. 29, pp 1-12.